

Mr. Phillips  
at tract  
Joseph Clark Assignee  
of Charles Ridgely

And the said Joseph saith that he by any thing by the said William in the first plea of the said William alleged ought not to be precluded from having his Execution of the Debt and Damages aforesaid against him the said William of the Lands and Tenements aforesaid in the return of the writ of Scire Facias aforesaid mentioned whereof he is returned Tenant to be precluded ought not, because he saith that the plea aforesaid by the said William in manner and form aforesaid above pleaded and the matter in the same contained are not sufficient in Law to preclude him the said Joseph from having his Execution of the Debt and Damages aforesaid against the said William of the Lands and Tenements aforesaid in the return of the writ of Scire Facias aforesaid mentioned whereof he is returned Tenant and to which said plea in manner and form aforesaid above pleaded he the said Joseph hath no property nor by the Law of the Land is obliged in any manner to answer, and this he is ready to verify. Wherefore for want of a sufficient plea in this behalf the said Joseph prays judgment and Execution of the debt and Damages aforesaid against him the said William of the Lands & Tenements aforesaid in the return of the writ of Scire Facias aforesaid mentioned whereof he is returned Tenant to him to be adjudged and forth. And the said Joseph saith that by reason of any thing by the said William in the second plea of the said William above pleaded he the said Joseph from having his Execution of the debt and Damages aforesaid against him the said William of the Lands and Tenements aforesaid in the return of Scire Facias aforesaid mentioned whereof he is returned Tenant to be precluded ought not, because he saith that there is such Record of the Recovery of the Debt and Damages aforesaid as he the said Joseph by his writ aforesaid supposes and this he is ready to verify by that Record, and the aforesaid William likewise.

And the said Joseph saith that by reason of any thing by the said William in the third plea of the said William above pleaded, he aforesaid against him the said William of the Lands and Tenements aforesaid in the return of the writ of Scire Facias aforesaid mentioned whereof he is returned Tenant to be precluded ought not, because he saith that there is such Record of the Assignment making over and transferring of the judgment aforesaid to the said Joseph by the said Charles Ridgely in the said writ of Scire Facias mentioned, as the said Joseph by his writ aforesaid hath alleged and this he is ready to verify by that