aforesaid, comes again into the High Court of Appeals here, as well the said James Williams by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said William James Hall by his Attornies aforesaid; And the said James Williams by his Attorney aforesaid, saith, that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit; that by the record aforesaid it appears, that the Judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said William James Hall against the said James Williams, when by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said James Williams against the said William James Hall, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record and proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said James Williams may be restored to all that he has lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said William James Hall may rejoin to the Errors aforesaid, and so forth,

And the said William James Hall by his Attornies aforesaid, says, there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid, and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said James Williams above for errors assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth, But because the Court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of June next, to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised, and so forth,

And now at this day, to wit, the third Monday in June, being the sixteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and six, until which day the proceedings aforesaid, which had remained without day by the operation and effect of an Act of the General Assembly of this State passed at a Session of Assembly begun and held at the City of Annapolis on Monday the fourth of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, entitled, "An Act to confirm an Act, entitled, An Act to provide for the trial of facts in the several Counties of this State, and to alter, change and abolish, all such parts of the constitution and form of Government as relate to the General Court and Court of Appeals," having been, in virtue of an Act passed at the same Session of Assembly, entitled, "An Act to provide for the organization and regulation of the Courts of Common Law in this State, and for the administration of Justice therein", revived and continued until the next Court of Appeals to be holden for the Western Shore at the City of Annapolis, comes into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said James Williams by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said William James Hall by his Attornies aforesaid; And the said James Williams by his Attorney aforesaid, relinquishing his verification aforesaid, above by him pretended, says, that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in giving the Judgment aforesaid;

Therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the Judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and ratified; And it is further considered by the Court here, that the said William James Hall have execution against the said James Williams as well for his damages, costs and charges aforesaid, so as aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said General Court, as also the quantity of Thirteen hundred and ten pounds of Tobacco, adjudged unto him by the Court here on his absent, for his costs and charges, which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of execution of the Judgment aforesaid, by means of the prosecuting the said Writ of the said State of Maryland for the correcting of errors by the said James Williams of and upon the premises, &c.

Memorandum, Judgment affirmed in this Cause, by consent with Costs, on the seventeenth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and six, for the sum of Five hundred pounds current money Damages, and Twelve hundred and seventy five pounds of Tobacco costs and Costs; To be released on payment of Two hundred and forty five pounds fourteen shillings and three farthings Current Money with Interest thereon from the twelfth day of May one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven till paid, and the above Costs, and Costs.

58 sds Test, Thomas Harris Junr. Clk.

WH. WD. RGH. PBK. 8. WP. AA. AS. LM.	Elkin Solomon, David Winchester, Wm. Harrison & John Munnikhuysen vs. Samuel Brooke	Appeal to June Term 1803 from a Decree in the Court of Chancery. Appeal Dismissed by the Appellants Counsel with Costs to the Appellee, 23d. June 1806. Appellees Costs 2973 lbs Tobacco
LM. SI. 29. ITM. PBK. AS.	Samuel Burress, Leonard Burress, Allen Billingsley, Jonathan Woodburn and John Lyon vs. George F. Hawkins, Nathaniel Washington & Margaret his Wife Henderson Sim Butler and Susanna Greenfield his Wife's Lessee,	Appeal to June Term 1804 from a Judgment obtained in the General Court. Record, General Errors, Joinder and Rule Argument. Appeal Dismissed by consent of parties without Costs,
WG. 56. 56. NB.	John Martin vs. Richard Snowden Thomas	Error to June Term 1805 from a Judgment obtained in the General Court, Record, General Errors, Joinder and rule Argument. Agreed say Attornies