

Dyke reply to this is - the unsatisfactory
account Dr Kemp may give of his finding the
mould - viz Dr Fleming - his mind was
prejudiced - & he looked for a mould to establish
that he had already prejudged. I was ready to
believe in a dream.

No account given of the other blocks - the
others had no reason be destroyed by fire than
this -

& why should this ^{evidence of} identity be regarded as
a certainty, while the testimony of many witnesses
regarding the law the location of Dr P. only the
Medical College is based on Govt to be uncertain.

3. The opinion of witnesses - friends &
acquaintances of Dr P. - such as

p 5. Robt G. Shaw	p 22 Chas. W. Kingsley
" 15 H. P. Fleming	8 Chas. W. Cummings
" 16-17 Jos. H. Blake	55 Woodruffe Street.

Dyke also: 1. Doubtful if this evidence
is admissible. 2. if it is - then it is
of the weakest kind - & why should it be
relied on at all ~~regarding~~ of the same
kind as the Govt attempt to do the evidence
of witnesses who say they saw Dr P. alive
during the afternoon of Friday the 23rd Nov.
- calling the former truth & the latter lies?