seventy two pounds of good sound leaf of tobacco with the like Hogs to
Contained the same which to him he owe the and unjustly detaineth —
And whereupon the same Amos by Thomas Mainwaring his —
attorney saith that whereas the aforesaid John the Sixteenth day of December
in the year of our Lord God One thousand seven hundred and forty at
Baltimore County by his certaine writeing obligatory did acknowledge himself
to be holden and firmly bound unto the said Amos in the aforesaid Quantity
of twenty four thousand four hundred and seventy two pounds of Good
sound leaf of tobacco with the like hogsheads to contain the same —
to be paid to the said Amos when afterwards he should be thereunto
required, yet the aforesaid John altho' often required the aforesaid Debt of
twenty four thousand four hundred and seventy two pounds of good
sound leaf of tobacco with the like hogsheads to contain the same to
Said Amos as yet hath not rendered but he the same to him to render
hitherto hath denyed and still doth deny whereupon the said Amos —
saith he is damnified and hath loss to the value of fifty thousand
pounds of tobacco and therefore he brings suit &c And he brings hereunto
Court the writeing obligatory aforesaid with the debt aforesaid in form aforesaid
to justify whose date is the same day & year aforesaid &c do &c

And the said John Stokes by Richard Ironsam his attorney comes &c
defends the force and injury when &c and says that he cannot gainsay
the aforesaid action aforesaid so he as against him brought nor can he say but
that the writeing obligatory aforesaid is his act and deed and that the debt
therein mentioned is yet due and unsatisfyed wherefore the said defendant
prays Judgment for his debt aforesaid and his costs and damages sustained
by reason of detaining the same debt to him to be adjudged &c

Therefore it is considered by the Justices here the 15th day of April
Anno Domini 1714 that the said Amos Garrett recover against the said John Stokes
a wolt the sum of twenty four thousand four hundred and seventy
two pounds of good sound leaf of tobacco with the like hogsheads to contain the
the same his Debt aforesaid as also the sume of
of tobacco by the Court here adjudged unto
him of his assent for his costs and damages sustained by reason
of detaining the same debt and the defendant in Mercy &c

And in this cause the said Sheriff of Balto County to wit John Dorsey Gent was
amerced last Court and fine of 40s for not producing the body of the
deft according by return of this writt wherefore &c whereupon
this Court the said amercement is taken of the said deft having —
appeared and Confessed Judgment as aforesaid therefore &c the said from the amercement
is to go thence without day —



Mr. Wm John London
vs
Walter Storey & Michael Machin
Mary Lynes who was Ex. Phillip Lynes

Maryland ss.

Walter Storey & Michael Machin of Charles County planters Ex.rs of the Testament of Ann Lynes late of Thessaloniki County wid.w deced which was Ex.rx of the testam.t of Phillip Lynes late of said County Esq.r deced were attached to answer unto John London of a plea of trespass on the Case &c

And whereupon the said John London by Wm Hunt his attorney complained that whereas the aforesaid Phillip Lynes in his life to wit the fifteenth day of May Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and five at the City of Annapolis in the County of Aunarundel according to the Customs of merchants and dealers of the province of Maryland and the Kingdom of England used made and drew his first bill of exchange with his own hand subscribed bearing date the day & year aforesaid to a certain Jonathan Matthews merchant in Lond.n residing and negotiating directed and by the same bill requested the said Jonathan at thirty days sight of such bill of exchange the second third and fourth of the same tenor and date not being paid to pay unto the aforesaid John London or his order the sum of twenty two Pounds and eight pence sterling for value of him received and the aforesaid John London in fact says that before sight of the aforesaid first bill of exchange by the said Jonathan had he the said John at the City of Lond.n aforesaid by his last will constituted Mary Matthews his wife Ex.rx of his testament and there dyed after whose death the aforesaid Mary Matthews took upon her the burthen of the execution of the same and together with a certaine Jno Goodwin who was partner in trade with the said Jonathan in his life traded jointly with the joynt stock of the said Jonathan Matthews and John Goodwin which said John Goodwin and Mary Matthews of the aforesaid first bill of exchange after the death of the said Jonathan to wit the twelfth day of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred and five at the City of London aforesaid to wit in Lond.n County had notice & then and there were requested to pay the aforesaid sum of twenty two pounds eight pence sterling to the order of the aforesaid John London which to do they then and there totally refused for want of advice from the said Phillip and the aforesaid John London in fact says that the aforesaid Jno Goodwin and Mary Matthews or either of them having had thirty days sight of the aforesaid first bill of exchange hitherto have not paid the aforesaid sum of twenty two pounds and eight pence sterling to the said Jno London or his order and that for want of payment he the said Jno London after thirty days sight of the same bill had by the said John Goodwin and Mary Matthews and on the aforesaid twelfth day of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred and five at the City of London aforesaid Viz.t in Lond.n County aforesaid protested & caused to be protested the aforesaid first bill of exchange the second third

(and)