Wm Philemon Lloyd Esq. vs Danl. Sherwood ... } This being an Accon of Debt Commenced by the plff. ag. the Deff. wherein there Issued a Ha beas Corpus ad respondendum of which the Shrff. to whome the same was directed made no return thereupon it is ordered to be renewed returnable next Court, & It is further Ordered that upon an affidavit being Lodged with the Clerk of this Court that the former Ptd Corpus came to the said Shrffs hands an Attachment Issue ag. the said Shrff. & and thereupon the said Action is Continued untill next Court

Dd John Hyde vs Hugh Riely ... } This being a Replevin Sued out by the plff. ag. the Deff. wherein there Issued a Withernam, which the Shrff. makes no return and thereupon the same is Continued untill next Court — ordered that the same be renewed returnable then

Wm Saml. Beale vs Richd. Hinbrother } This being an Action of Debt Commenced by the plff. ag. the Deff. which stands Cont. to this Court wherein there Issued a Ha Corpus ad respondendum directed to the Shrff. of Dorchester County returnable this Court, and now this Court the said Shrff makes return there of to the Court Ort. Hondst Incontus — Chas. Jungle Shrff. thereupon the same is ordered to be renewed returnable next Court

Wm The Lord Brooke vs Herman Van Bakeloo } this is an Accon of Debt Commenced by the plff. ag. the Deff. where in the Shrff was Comd. four pounds Curr.t Money unless he brought in the body of the Deff. this Court, and now this Court the said Shrff. altho solemnly Called to bring in the Body of the said Deff. he Does not bring him in — Thereupon the said Shrff is further Amerced the Sume of One hundred pounds Sterl. unless he brings in the body of the said Deff. next Court

The Same ... vs the Same ... } the Same Entry as above ag.t Ditto and the Same Amercement unless appearance next Court

Wm Cornelius Ward vs James Molly } this a Writt of Error brought by plff. ag.t the Deff. where in the Shrff Sued a Scire fac. to have Error ass.d of which the Shrff makes no return thereupon the same is ord. to be renewed —

Wm James Duke ... vs Dd Wm Young — } Scire fac.

Wm James Duke vs Dd Wm Young } Scire fac.

The above two Actions are by Consent of both parties referred to Mr. Downes and Benj.a Machall and it is ruled that a Judgm.t Go according to their Award

Wm His Majesty vs Thom.s Hutchins — } this is a Case on a Judgment rendered for the plff. ag. the Deff. for the Sume of three hundred pounds Sterl. on the back of which was Endorsed, Mond. the Satisfaction of this Execution on the Other ag. Oliver Harriott will be Sufficient — Test Rachel Denton Clk

of which said precept the Shrff. of Baltimore County to whome the same was Directed makes return there of to the Court Endorsed, Copi in Custodiam Supersseded — Edward Hall Shrff of Baltimore County which Supersseders mentioned in the aforegoing return follows in these words viz.t

Maryland Baltimore County } ss. You Oliver Harriott Thom.s Biddison and John Hellen Doe Confess Judgment to Our Soveraign Lord the King for the Sume of three hundred pounds Sterl. which said Sume was recovered by Our said Lord the King ag.t Oliver Harriott on the 12th day of April Last in the Prov.l Court the said three hundred pounds Sterl. to be Levied of your bodies goods Chattels Lands & Tenements for the use of Our said Lord the King in Case the said Oliver Harriot Shall not pay and Satisfye to Our said Lord the King the said three hundred pounds Sterl. as aft. recovered against him with the Ded.l Cts thereon on the Tenth day of Nov.r next. they Acknowledge them Selves there with Content this Seventeenth day of Sept.r Anno Dom.i 1720 — Coram Nobis

James Maxwell
Fra: Dollahide
Luke Raven

To Mr. Rachel Denton Clk of the Prov.l Court

Wm His Majty vs Oliver Harriott ... } this is a Case on a Judgment rendered for the plff. ag. the Deff. on the 12.d day of April Last for the Sume of three hundred pounds Sterl. on the back of which was Endorsed at the time of Issueing thereof, Mond. the Satisf.n of this Execution on the Other ag. Thom.s Hutchins will be Suff.t — Test Rachel Denton Clk

of which said precept the Shrff. of Baltimore County to whome the same was Directed makes return there of Endorsed. Copi in Custodiam Supersseder Edward Hall Shrff. of Balt.r County which Supersseder mentioned in the aforegoing return is as follows viz.t