Here in Court in his proper person and freely the said part of the tract of Land
aforesaid with the appurtenances to him the said William Bowen doth warrant
And so forth And thereupon the said John Rogers demands against the said
William Rawlings Tenant by his Warranty the said part of the Tract of Land
aforesaid with the appurtenances in form aforesaid and so forth And hereupon he
saith that he was Seized of the said part of the Tract of Land aforesaid with the appurte-
nances in his Demesne as of Fee and right in the Time of Peace in the Time of
the Lord the King that now is taken by the profits thereof to the Value of and so forth
And into which and so forth (and thereupon he brings Suit and so forth, And the aforesaid
William Rawlings Tenant by his Warranty Comes and Defends his right
when and so forth and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not Disseize
the aforesaid John Rogers of the said part of the tract of Land aforesaid with the
appurtenances as the aforesaid John Rogers by his suit and Declaration aforesaid
above supposes and of this he puts himself upon the Country and so forth
And the aforesaid John Rogers prays Leave thereof to Imparle and he hath it and
so forth (and afterwards the aforesaid John Rogers Comes again here into Court in
this same Term in his proper person (And the aforesaid William Rawlings at the
solemnly called Comes not but in Contempt of the Court hath Departed and makes
Default Therefore it is Considered that the aforesaid John Rogers recover his
Seizin against the aforesaid James Bowen of the said part of the Tract of Land
aforesaid with the appurtenances and that the aforesaid James Bowen have of
the Lands of the aforesaid William Bowen to the value and so forth and that the
said William Bowen have of the Lands of the said William Rawlings to the value
and so forth and that the said William Rawlings Immercy & so forth
And thereupon the said John Rogers prays the writ of the Lord Protonotary to the
Sheriff of Talbot County aforesaid to be directed to Cause to be delivered to him
Full Seizin of the said part of the Tract of Land aforesaid with the appurtenances
And it is granted to him peternal here the Second Tuesday in September next
and so forth

Test Beverly Thurelin Clk

William Richardson
vs
Edward Lloyd Esquire

Command was given the Sheriff Talbot County
That he Command Edward Lloyd Esquire that
Justly and without Delay he render unto William
Richardson of Talbot County Gentleman all that Tract or parcel of plantable
Land called Batchelors point lying and being in Talbot County aforesaid on the
West side of a Branch of Saint Michaels River containing one hundred Acres
with the appurtenances and also all that other tract or parcel of Land called
Hope Chance lying in Talbot County aforesaid on the western Branch of St. Michaels
River and on a Creek called Batcholors Creek containing fifty Acres with the
appurtenances and also all that other Tract or parcel of plantable Land called
Addition lying in Talbot County aforesaid and on St. Michaels river containing three
Hundred and fifty Acres with the appurtenances and also all that other Tract
Parcel of plantable Land called the parmage lying in Talbot County aforesaid

On the north side of St. Michaels River at the head of a Branch called Batchelors
Branch Containing and laid out for one hundred Acres with the appurtenances
And also all that other tract or parcel of plantable Land called Knave keep out lying in
Talbot County aforesaid on the north side of St. Michaels River and adjoining to
the Land formerly Belonging to a Certain Andrew Skinner called Timber neck
Containing fifty Acres with the appurtenances in the County aforesaid which
He claimed to be his right and inheritance and into which the said Edward
Lloyd Esq. but after a Disseizin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without
Judgment had made to the aforesaid William Richardson within thirty Years
And so forth and whereof the said William Richardson did Complain that the
said Edward Lloyd Esq. did Disseize him and unless the said Edward Lloyd Esq.
Did the same and the said William Richardson should make his Return
in prosecuting his Clamor then he should Summon by good Summons the
said Edward Lloyd that he be and appear before his Lordships Justices of his
Lordships provincial Court at Annapolis the Second Tuesday of April then next and
that he should have then and there that Writ &c
At which said Second Tuesday in April aforesaid to wit the 13th day of the same
Month Anno 1762 Comes the said William Richardson in his proper person
And the Sheriff of Talbot County to whom the same writ was Directed makes
Return thereof to the Court here thus Indorsed to wit

Pledges of prosecuting John Doe R. Roe
Summons John Den R. Fem
Sworn Woolman Gibson Sher.
J. Bordley Atty Gen.

Maryland St. William Richardson of Talbot County Gentleman in his proper
person demandeth against Edward Lloyd Esq. all that Tract or parcel of plantable
Land called Batchelors point lying and being in Talbot County aforesaid on the
West side of a Branch of St. Michaels River containing one hundred acres with
the appurtenances, and also all that other Tract or parcel of plantable Land called
Hope Chance lying in Talbot County afsd on the western Branch of St. Michaels river
and on a Creek called Batcholors Creek containing fifty acres with the appurtenances
And also all that other Tract or parcel of plantable Land called Addition lying
in Talbot County afsd and on St. Michaels river containing three hundred and
fifty Acres with the appurtenances and also all that other Tract or parcel of
Plantable Land called the parmage lying in Talbot County afsd on the north
side of St. Michaels River at the head of a Branch called Batcholors Branch containing
and laid out for one hundred Acres with the appurtenances and also all that other
Tract or parcel of plantable Land called Knave keep out lying in Talbot County
afsd. on the north side of St. Michaels river and adjoining to the Land formerly
Belonging to a Certain Andrew Skinner called Timber neck Containing
fifty Acres with the appurtenances in the County afsd as his right & inheritance
and into which the said Edward Lloyd hath not entered but after the Disseizin
which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment hath made to