

R. E. Lee Marshall, Esq., City Solicitor (cont'd)

In the files of this office I also found that in 1932, the Baltimore-Gillet Company made application for a portion of the refund now in controversy. This matter was then referred to me by the Water Engineer, and I wrote to the Baltimore-Gillet Company, the Applicant, for an assignment of that claim by the Gillet Company to the Baltimore-Gillet Company, and in its reply of March 16, 1932, the Baltimore-Gillet Company wrote as follows:

"In reply to your letter of March 15th, we wish to advise that by agreement dated June 10, 1931, The Baltimore-Gillet Company acquired all the assets of Gillet and Company.

"However, Gillet and Company is still in existence, and we shall appreciate it if you will authorize the payment to Gillet and Company of any refund due them on the deposit made with the City in connection with water main extensions."

On receipt of this letter the refund was made to Gillet and Company in the sum of \$500.00, which was then the amount of the refund.

I would, therefore, advise that the Safe Deposit and Trust Company, if they desire the refund to be paid to them, procure an assignment from the original applicant, Gillet and Company of Delaware, The Baltimore-Gillet Company and the Baltimore Company, the President of all three corporations being Charles B. Gillet.

I am suggesting that all three of these corporations be made parties to this assignment, because of the fact there appear to be certain agreements in existence between these corporations, but as they are not recorded, the nature and legal effect of these agreements is unknown by me.

Very truly yours,  
(signed) ALFONSO WEN WYSZECKI  
Assistant City Solicitor

AvW-R  
Enclosures