-terson, deceased.

4. That the said interest in the rest and residue of the real and leasehold estate of the said James Wilson, is to vest as directed by said last will and testament of Mary L. Patterson.

5. And your respondents pray that your Honorable Court will decree that Mary L. Patterson intended to exercise, and did exercise the power of disposition given and granted to her by the last wills and testaments of James Wilson and Mary Wilson, and that it may be decreed that the said complainants shall account to your respondents for the personal property and estate mentioned in said bill. And that the real and leasehold estate therein mentioned shall be decreed to pass under the will of the said Mary L. Patterson.

And that your respondents may have such other and further relief as their case may require.

And as in duty, &c.

E. Calvin Williams,
W. Hall Harris,
Solrs. for Respnts.

Answer of J. Wilson Patterson, et al.
(Filed 31st January, 1885.)

Thomas J. Wilson and Henry R. Wilson Surviving Trustees, &c. vs James Wilson Patterson, William Patterson and W. Hall Harris, Executors, etc., et al.	In the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

To the Honorable, the Judge of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City:

The joint and several answers of James Wilson Patterson

and Margaret S. Patterson, his wife, William Patterson and Emma Patterson, his wife, and Alice Patterson Harris and W. Hall Harris, her husband, to the bill of complaint of Thomas J. Wilson and Henry R. Wilson, surviving trustees of the estates of James Wilson and Mary Wilson, deceased, against them and others in this Honorable Court exhibited, respectfully represents:

1. That these respondents admit the matters and facts stated in the said bill of complaint, and that the exhibits thereunto are true and perfect copies and exhibits.

2. These respondents further answering, allege that Mary L. Patterson did not by her last will and testament (a duly certified copy of which is filed with said bill of complaint) exercise the power and authority given her under the last wills and testaments of the said James Wilson and Mary Wilson, and did not devise and bequeath the trust property and estate mentioned in said last wills and testaments.

3. And your respondents charge, allege and maintain that the legacy of forty thousand dollars ($40,000.00) mentioned in the last will and testament of James Wilson, and in the said bill of complaint, together with the accretions as in said bill fully set out, and the one ninth of the ten-twelfths of the rest and residue of the fee estate of the said James Wilson, amounting to about twenty thousand dollars, ($20.000.00) and also the share of the real and leasehold estate mentioned in said bill of complaint, estimated to be of the value of about thirty thousand dollars ($30.000.00) should be divided into four equal parts, and one of said fourths or parts to be paid and delivered to, and to vest in the said James Wilson Patterson, absolutely, and in fee-