Peter A. Gustier
vs
John Smith

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record and proceedings of the Circuit Court of Maryland, and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof, It is the opinion of the Court that the said Circuit Court erred in instructing the Jury that on all the matters stated and admitted by the parties the Plaintiffs were entitled to recover in this action; It is therefore adjudged, ordered and Decreed that the judgment of the said Circuit Court be and the same is hereby reversed, and the said cause is remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein. Mar: 2d.

Palmer & Higgins
vs
Stephen Dutilh

Upon the questions in this cause refered to this Court from the Circuit Court of the District of Pennsylvania on a division of opinion in the said Circuit Court, to wit, "1st. Whether the Circuit Court of the United States sitting as a Court of common law has jurisdiction of this case." "2. Whether the seizure and condemnation of the said coffee, both or either of them are or are not a sufficient bar to the Plaintiffs demand." It is the opinion of this Court, that the case being stated too imperfectly to enable this Court to form an opinion upon the question refered to this Court, this cause is remanded for further proceedings to be had in the said Circuit Court. March 2d.

John Hargous
vs
The Brig Ceres and
William M. Plumpmet

on examination of the Transcript of the Record in this cause from the Circuit Court of the District of Pennsylvania, It is the opinion of the Court, that the case is stated too imperfectly in the said Record to enable the Court to form an opinion thereon; It is therefore ordered that the said cause be remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein. March 2d.

Amos Alexander
vs
James Harris, Bailiff
of William Crammond

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court of the District of Columbia for the County of Alexandria, and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof, It is adjudged and ordered, that the Judgment of the said Circuit Court be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs. March 2d.

Thomas Beckenham Esq.
of Francis Dunnepay
vs
John Baptist Mark De
Chappedelaine, Residuary
Legatee & Priest De Clodhivien
Adm.r De bonis non with the
Will annexed of Julien Joseph
Hyacinthe De Chappedelaine
And
The Legatee & Administrator
of Chappedelaine
vs
Francis Dunnepay's Ex.r

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court of Georgia and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof, the Court is of opinion, that the Decree rendered by the Circuit Court ought to have been for the sum of one thousand and forty pounds seventeen shillings and seven pence with interest thereon at the rate of six per centum per annum from the 30th of April in the year 1792. and for the further sum of three thousand eight hundred and twenty three dollars and six cents reported by the auditors appointed to adjust the accounts between the parties, to be due for transactions subsequent to the 30th of April 1792. This Court doth therefore affirm the said Decree as to the said sums of one thousand and forty pounds, seventeen shillings and seven pence, with interest thereon at the rate of six per centum per annum from the 30th of April 1792 and of three thousand eight hundred and twenty three dollars and six cents, and doth reverse it as to the residue, and doth direct the parties to bear their own costs. March 4th

The Mayor & Commonalty
of the Town of Alexandria
use of John G. Ladd
vs
Thomas Patten, Alexander
Smith, Joseph Riddle Jas
B. Nicholls & John P. Ricketts

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court of the District of Columbia, sitting in Alexandria County, and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof, It is the opinion of the Court that the said Circuit Court erred in instructing the Jury, that the application of the money by the Plaintiff in the said proceedings mentioned must have been recent and before any alteration had taken place in the circumstances of Mr. Patten; It is therefore adjudged and ordered, that the Judgment of the said Circuit Court be and the same is hereby reversed, and the said cause is remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein. March 7th