William Oneale
v.
Williams Thornton survey Com: mission of the City of Washington

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court of the District of Columbia, in the County of Washington, and was argued by Counsel, all which being seen and considered, this Court is of opinion, that the Circuit Court erred in refusing to give the opinion prayed by the Counsel for the Defendant in that Court, that on the whole testimony if believed, the Plaintiff in that Court could not support their action. This Court doth therefore reverse and annul the judgment rendered in this cause by the said Circuit Court, and doth remand the cause to that Court for a new trial. feb: 15th

The Maryland Insurance Co.
v.
William Wood

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court for the District of Maryland, was argued by Counsel, all which being seen and considered, this Court is of opinion, that there is error in the Record and proceedings of the said Circuit Court, in this, that the said Court refused to give the opinion prayed for by the Defendants in that Court, stated in their seventh exception. It is therefore considered, that the judgment of the said Circuit Court be reversed and annulled, and that the cause be remanded to that Court to be further proceeded in according to law. feb: 16th

Charles M'Knight
v.
John G. Ladd adm.r of Samuel Craig dec.d

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court for the District of Columbia in the County of Alexandria, and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof, It is adjudged and ordered that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this case be and the same is hereby reversed and annulled, and the said cause is remanded to the said Circuit Court. feb: 19th

Same
Same.

Same entry.

William Campbell
v.
Robert Gordon & Cenetta his Wife.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court of Virginia, and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof, It is ordered and decreed, that the Decree of the said Circuit Court in this case be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs. feb: 20th

John Dillon
v.
Jonathan Lawrence Jun.r & Henry Whiting

By leave of the Court and by consent of parties in this cause by their Counsel, It is adjudged and ordered that the judgment of the Circuit Court for the District of Maryland be and the same is hereby affirmed for the amount of damages in the declaration, to be released on payment of three thousand six hundred and nineteen dollars seventy seven cents with interest on three thousand one hundred forty seven dollars sixty three cents from the tenth day of December 1807 till satisfied, with all costs of Court, and costs of protest (seven dollars) added. feb: 21st

Philip Dickerson
v.
Same

Same entry.

John Lewis
v.
Benjamin & Henry Howard assignees of William Wheteroft

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the Record of the Circuit Court for the District of Virginia and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof the Court is of opinion, that the bond in the proceedings mentioned was not assignable under the laws of Virginia; It is therefore adjudged and ordered, that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this case be, and the same is hereby reversed & annulled: and the Court proceeding to render such judgment, as the said Circuit Court should have rendered, It is further adjudged and ordered, that the said judgment of the said Circuit Court, on the verdict in the above cause, be arrested. feb: 24th

Isaiah Lewis Claimant of the Schooner Gottenburgh
v.
The United States

upon the question in this case referred to this Court from the Circuit Court of Maryland, upon the prayer of the Claimant in the said Circuit Court "to order the sum retained pursuant to the mandate in this case to be paid to "him, upon the ground, that as the United States were not bound "to pay drawbacks on the said Coffee, and the owner or claimant "was by the circumstances aforesaid prevented from receiving "them, nothing ought to be paid to, or retained by the United States "on account of duties thereon." upon which question the opinions of the judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed; It is the opinion of this Court, that under the circumstances in this case, the United States are not entitled to the duties on the coffee in the said proceedings mentioned, and that the sum retained pursuant to the mandate in this case should be paid to the owner or claimant of the said coffee. all which is ordered to be certified to the said Circuit Court of the United States, for the District of Maryland. feb: 28th