Judgments &c

Decrees

establish the Judicial Courts of the United States as extends the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court to that Court is not in pursuance of the constitution of the United States; that the writ of error which removed the records in this case before this Court was improvidently allowed; that the proceedings thereon in the Supreme Court were coram non judice in relation to the Court of Appeals of Virginia and that obedience to the Mandate of this Court be dispensed; Wherefore it is Considered by this Court that the said judgment of the Court of appeals of Virginia be reversed and annulled; and this Court proceeding to render such judgment as the said Court of appeals in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia ought to have rendered. It is considered and adjudged that Timothy Taylor Lessee of David Hunter the original Plaintiff take nothing by his bill, but for his false clamor be in mercy &c. and that the Defendant, Philip Martin go thereof without day. — 20th March

---

John P. Chazel and oth. owners — officers & crew of the Private armed Schooner Saucy Jack.

84 The Ship St Nicholas & part of the Cargo. Meyers & oth. Claimants —

and

The Ship St Nicholas and part of the Cargo claimed by Meyers & oth.

85 vs

John P. Chazel and oth. owners &c of the Private armed Schooner Saucy Jack

These causes came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Records of the Circuit Court for the District of Georgia and were argued by Counsel, on considerations whereof, It is Decreed and ordered, that the Decree of the Circuit Court for the District of Georgia in this case, so far as the same affirms the Decree of the Circuit Court of said District restoring the said Ship St Nicholas, and the Dyewoods, part of the Cargo thereof claimed in behalf of John Innearity, be and the same is hereby reversed and annulled; and this Court proceeding to pass such Decree as the said Circuit Court should have passed, It is further Decreed and ordered, that the said Ship St Nicholas and the said Dyewoods be condemned as forfeited to the Captors and as to so much of the Decree of the said Circuit Court as condemns the cotton claimed on behalf of Platzman & Glossler and on behalf of John Innearity It is further Decreed and ordered that the same be and is hereby affirmed with costs. — 21st March

---

The Cargo of the Brig Eliza — Peter Mitchell Claimant

vs

John P. Chazel and oth. owners &c of the Private armed Schooner Saucy Jack

This cause came on &c. on considerations whereof, It is Decreed and ordered, that the Decree of the Circuit Court for the District of Georgia condemning the Cargo of said Brig Eliza be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs. — 21st March

---

The United States

23 vs

Cornelius Coolidge William E. Weld, George Prince, Paul Davis, Thos Bickford, Francis J. Oliver, Joseph Child, John Bean and John Tilley

This cause being called in due course, the Attorney General appeared and declined arguing it on behalf of the United States, and no persons appearing in behalf of the Defendants it is ordered, that it be certified to the Circuit Court for the District of Massachusetts in conformity to the decisions of this Court in the case of the United States Goodwin et al, that the law is against the Jurisdiction of the Courts of the United States — 21st March

---

The United States

24 vs

Stephen G. Clark

This cause being called in due course, the Attorney General appeared and declined arguing it on behalf of the United States, and no persons appearing in behalf of the Defendants it is ordered, that it be certified to the Circuit Court for the District of Massachusetts in conformity to the decisions of this Court in the case of the United States. Goodwin et al, that the law is against the Jurisdiction of the Court of the U.S. — 21st March

---

The United States

25 vs

Jacob Bigelow & James W. Stocking

This cause being called in due course, the Attorney General appeared and declined arguing it on behalf of the United States, and no persons appearing in behalf of the Defendants it is ordered, that it be certified to the Circuit Court for the District of Massachusetts in conformity to the decisions of this Court in the case of the United States. Goodwin et al, that the law is against the Jurisdiction of the Court of the United States — 21st March