in any State, as from any Court to which the Record of the said Judgment may have been remitted and may be found when the Writ of error from this Court is issued. and the Court therefore in virtue of the Writ of error in this cause do proceed and take cognizance of this cause upon the Transcript of the Record now remaining in the Supreme Court of Judicature of the people of the State of New York and they do hereby adjudge and order that the Judgment of the Court for the trial of Impeachments and correction of errors in this case be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and damages at the rate of six per centum per annum on the amount of the Judgment of the said Court for the trial of Impeachments and correction of errors of the State of New York to be computed from the time of the rendition of the Judgment of the said Court for the trial of Impeachment & corrections of errors of the State of New York. — Feby 27th.

The Brig Eolus & Cargo
Thomas F. Williams Claimant
vs
65 The United States

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record and the further proof in the cause and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof. It is Decreed and ordered that the decree of the Circuit Court for the District of Massachusetts by which the said Brig Eolus and Cargo was decreed to be forfeited to the United States be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs. — Feby 27th.

Robert McAuston
vs
67 Daniel Moore

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Supreme Court of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the Lancaster District on examination whereof, It is adjudged and ordered that the Writ of error in this case be and the same is hereby dismissed this Court not having Jurisdiction in said cause, there not having been a final Judgment in said suit, in the said Supreme Court of the Commonwealth of Pennsylv.

March 2d

The United States
vs
The Cargo of the Atalanta
Justin Joupat Claimant

The Court in this case requires further proof which Mr. Joupat is allowed to produce to the following points.

1st To his proprietary interest in the Cargo to shew how and when it was purchased.

2nd To produce his correspondence with Barclay Salkield & Co., if any respecting this voyage.

3rd To explain the circumstances relative to the original destination to Pensacola when the Atalanta sailed from Liverpool

4th To explain the character of Mr. Pritchard; and his situation on board the Atalanta.

5th To establish the genuineness of the letter of the letter of the 20th of August and say by what vessel it was sent.

6th To shew to whom that part of the Cargo of the Atalanta on the voyage from Liverpool to Bourdeaux which belonged to Barclay Salkield & Company was consigned and how it was disposed of.

7th To produce copies of the letters of Barclay Salkield & Company relative to this transaction on account for their non-production

March 4th

The Ships Ann & Cargo
Richard Scott Claimant
vs
53 The Private armed Schooner Rebecca Ann.
James Matthews Commander

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof. It is decreed and ordered, that the decree of the Circuit Court for the District of Maryland in this case be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

March 7th.

Burrell Jackson
vs
30 James Barnes

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof It is adjudged & ordered, that the Judgment of the Circuit Court for the District of Kentucky in this case be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs. — March 7th